

The magnetic field of the B3V star 16 Pegasi

H.F. Henrichs¹, C. Neiner², R.S. Schnerr³, E. Verdugo⁴, A. Alecian⁵,
C. Catala⁶, F. Cochard⁷, J. Gutiérrez², A.-L. Huat²,
J. Silvester⁵, and O. Thizy⁷

¹Astronomical Institute, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ²GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, Université Paris Diderot; 5 place Jules Janssen, 92190 Meudon, France, ³Inst. for Solar Physics, Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁴European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC), Madrid, Spain, ⁵Dept. of Physics, Royal Military College of Canada, Kingston, Canada, ⁶LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, Université Paris Diderot; 5 place Jules Janssen, 92190 Meudon, France, ⁷Shelyak Instruments, Revel, France

Abstract. The Slowly Pulsating B3V star 16 Pegasi was discovered by Hubrig *et al.* (2006) to be magnetic, based on low-resolution spectropolarimetric observations with FORS1 at the VLT. We have confirmed the presence of a magnetic field with new measurements with the spectropolarimeters Narval at TBL, France and Espadons at CFHT, Hawaii during 2007. The most likely period is about 1.44 d for the modulation of the field, but this could not be firmly established with the available data set. No variability has been found in the UV stellar wind lines. Although the star was reported once to show H α in emission, there exists at present no confirmation that the star is a Be star.

Keywords. stars: magnetic fields, techniques: polarimetric, stars: atmospheres, stars: individual (16 Peg), stars: early-type, stars: winds, outflows, stars: rotation, stars: pulsations

1. Introduction

The B3V star 16 Peg received our particular attention because more than 50 years ago it was reported at a single occasion to show emission in H α , giving the star its Be status. However, this emission was never observed again, also not in our data set, and hence this star is probably not a Be star.

We describe the magnetic analysis leading to the confirmation of the presence of a magnetic field. We also summarize the period search in these data, and conclude that the most likely rotation period is near 1.44 d, but the data set is actually too poor to firmly constrain the period.

2. Magnetic Analysis

We applied the least-squares deconvolution method (LSD, Donati *et al.* 1997) to the spectral lines sensitive to magnetic effects in the 38 obtained Stokes V spectra (see *e.g.* Fig. 1 left), yielding the longitudinal component of the field, averaged over the star, B_ℓ . In Fig. 1 (right) the magnetic data are plotted as a function of time, including the two FORS1 data points from Hubrig *et al.* (2006). We found a varying magnetic field, with absolute maximum values of about 170 ± 45 G. The smallest error bars are about 30 G. The results are rather robust against integration limits in the Zeeman signature, but we note that these are preliminary in the sense that the used linelist can still be optimized.




Figure 1. *Left:* Example of a Stokes V Zeeman signature. Top to bottom: LSD circularly polarised Stokes V , (null) N , and unpolarised I profiles of a Narval spectrum. *Right:* Magnetic data history of 16 Peg, including the first 2 discovery datapoints with FORS1 by Hubrig et al.




Figure 2. *Left:* Overplot of ultraviolet Si IV resonance lines of 16 Peg. The significance of the variability, expressed as the square root of the ratio of the measured to the expected variances in the lower panel shows no significant variability. *Right:* Phase plot of Narval + Espadons magnetic data overplotted with the best weighted fit period of 1.441 day.

3. Period search and conclusions

We analysed the 5 available high-resolution UV spectra, taken over 9 years and searched for variability in stellar wind lines. Although such a variability is expected for magnetic B stars, no variability at the S/N level of about 15 could be found (see Fig. 2 left).

A weighted sinusoidal fit to the data with starting values taken at maximum power of a (unweighted) CLEAN analysis resulted for the 2007 data in $P = 1.441$ d with a reduced $\chi^2 = 2.5$. Including the two earlier FORS1 points changed the fit significantly, showing the poor coverage and varying quality of the data set. This period is consistent with $P_{\text{rot}}/\sin i = 1.6 \pm 0.6$ d from the model stellar parameters given by Hubrig *et al.* (2006): $v \sin i = 104 \pm 6$ km/s and $R/R_{\odot} = 3.2 \pm 1.0$, which constrains the inclination angle.

De Cat *et al.* (2007) found three photometric periods in this SPB star: 0.8905 d, 1.24668 d and 0.4039 d. Our proposed magnetic period is not consistent with a rotational splitting.

New spectropolarimetric data with a proper coverage of the suggested rotation period is obviously needed.

References

- De Cat, P., Briquet, M., Aerts, C., Goossens, K., Saesen, S., *et al.* 2007, *A&A* 463, 243
 Donati, J.-F., Semel, M., Carter, *et al.* 1997, *MNRAS* 291, 658
 Hubrig, S., Briquet, M., Schöller, M., De Cat, P., Mathys, G., Aerts, C. 2006, *MNRAS* 369, L61